

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,509	10/05/2005	Noritada Katayama	2005_1546A	2516	
513 7590 12/13/2010 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W.,			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON III, HENRY M		
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3769		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/13/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/552,509	KATAYAMA, NORITADA		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3769		
All Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) Henry M. Johnson, III.	(3)			
(2) Andrew Dunlap.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 8 December 2010	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: Claim 22				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The examiner suggested changes to claim 22 for clarification incl receive and transmit. The examiner also suggested removal of the as confusing as only the first information sensor has a measurem Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	uding changing " to wirelessly cor (i), (ii) and (iii) in the claims for cent calculating unit. ecord of the substance of the examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	mmuniticate" to wirelessly larity. Claim 12 was discussed interview, since the interview an summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
/Henry M. Johnson, III/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3769 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		
		/		